

Eastern High School Teacher Evaluation Rubric

Strand	Ineffective	Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective	Documentation & Artifacts	Point Values
--------	-------------	---------------------	-----------	------------------	---------------------------	--------------

SECTION #1: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT (0 - 34 points)

Strand	0 points	6 points	12 points	17 points		
School Achievement	No growth	0.1% to 4.9% growth	5.0% to 9.9% growth	≥10.0% growth	School Aggregate Data (MEAP/MME)	0-17
Classroom Achievement	No growth	0.1% to 4.9% growth	5.0% to 9.9% growth	≥10.0% growth	Classroom Data (Building-wide Initiatives)	0-17

SECTION #2: PLANNING & PREPARATION (0 - 15 points)

Strand	0 points	1 point	2 points	3 points		
Learning Objective	Teacher develops a general student learning objective for her/his class OR does not develop a learning objective at all.	Teacher develops a measurable student learning objective for her/his class.	Teacher develops a measurable student learning objective for her/his class that is aligned with a data-based need.	Teacher develops an ambitious and measurable student learning objective for her/his class that is aligned with a data-based need.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Articulation of Learning Objective	Less than half of the students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the learning objective and how it will be assessed.	Half of the students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the learning objective and how it will be assessed.	Most students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the learning objective and how it will be assessed.	All or nearly all students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the learning objective and how it will be assessed.	Documented responses during observations	0-3
Lesson/Unit Planning & Essential Concepts	Teacher does not plan by identifying the standards that her/his students will master OR does not articulate essential concepts.	The teacher plans by: 1) identifying the standards that her/his students will master; and 2) articulating essential concepts.	The teacher plans by: 1) identifying the standards that her/his students will master; 2) articulating essential concepts; and 3) creating well-designed assessments.	The teacher plans by: 1) identifying the standards that her/his students will master; 2) articulating essential concepts; 3) creating well-designed assessments; and 4) allocating an instructionally appropriate amount of time for learning activities.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Articulation of Essential Concepts	For any given unit, less than half of the students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the essential concepts.	For any given unit, half of the students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the essential concepts.	For any given unit, most students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the essential concepts.	For any given unit, all or nearly all students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the essential concepts.	Documented responses during observations	0-3
Standards-Based Planning	Teacher has little or no evidence of lesson/unit planning based on the standards.	Teacher plans lessons/units by identifying learning objectives that are aligned to the standards.	Teacher plans lessons/units by: 1) identifying lesson objectives that are aligned to the standards and connected to prior learning ; and 2) matching instructional strategies to the learning objectives.	Teacher plans lessons/units by: 1) identifying lesson objectives that are aligned to the standards and connected to prior learning ; 2) matching instructional strategies to the learning objectives; and 3) designing formative assessments that measure progress towards mastery.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3

Note: Language used in this rubric is adapted from The Danielson Group's (2013) *Framework for Learning*.

Eastern High School Teacher Evaluation Rubric

Strand	Ineffective	Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective	Documentation & Artifacts	Point Value
--------	-------------	---------------------	-----------	------------------	---------------------------	-------------

SECTION #3: CLASSROOM INSTRUCTION (0 - 18 points)

Strand	0 points	1 point	2 points	3 points		
Instructional Balance	There is an inappropriate balance between teacher-directed and student-centered learning. Students do not have adequate opportunities to practice, apply, and demonstrate what they are learning because the lesson is almost entirely teacher directed; or, opportunities are not meaningful because students are released to work before receiving appropriate instruction.	There is some balance between teacher-directed and student-centered learning. While students have some opportunities to practice, apply, and demonstrate what they are learning, these opportunities are not entirely meaningful because there is more teacher-directed instruction than appropriate or students are released to work before receiving appropriate instruction.	There is an appropriate balance between teacher-directed and student-centered learning during the lesson, such that students have adequate opportunities to meaningfully practice, and apply what they are learning.	There is an appropriate balance between teacher-directed and student-centered learning during the lesson, such that students have adequate opportunities to meaningfully practice, apply, and demonstrate what they are learning.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Coherent Content Delivery	Explanation of content is unclear or incoherent . The teacher might provide explanations through direct verbal or written delivery, modeling or demonstrations, think-alouds, visuals, or questioning, but these explanations are generally ineffective in building student understanding.	Explanation of content is generally clear and coherent , with a few exceptions. The teacher might provide explanations through direct verbal or written delivery, modeling or demonstrations, think-alouds, visuals, or questioning, but these explanations are not entirely effective in building student understanding.	Explanation of content is clear and coherent , and it builds student understanding of content. The teacher might provide explanations through direct verbal or written delivery, modeling or demonstrations, think-alouds, visuals, or questioning.	Explanation of content is consistently clear and coherent , and it builds student understanding of content. The teacher might provide explanations through direct verbal or written delivery, modeling or demonstrations, think-alouds, visuals, or questioning.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Connection to Prior Knowledge	The teacher does not make connections with students' prior knowledge, students' experiences and interests, other content areas, or current events; or, connections are ineffective at building understanding of content.	The teacher makes few connections with students' prior knowledge, students' experiences and interests, other content areas, or current events, but connections are not entirely effective at building understanding of content.	The teacher makes connections with students' prior knowledge, students' experiences and interests, other content areas, or current events to effectively build understanding of content.	The teacher makes connections and asks students to make connections with their prior knowledge, experiences and interests, other content areas, or current events to effectively build understanding of content.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Higher-Level Student Responses	Few or no students answer questions or complete complex tasks with meaningful responses that demonstrate movement toward higher-levels of understanding.	Some students answer questions or complete complex tasks with meaningful responses that demonstrate movement toward higher-levels of understanding.	Most students answer questions or complete complex tasks with meaningful responses that demonstrate movement toward higher-levels of understanding, showing that they are accustomed to being asked these kinds of questions.	Almost all students answer questions or complete complex tasks with meaningful responses that demonstrate movement toward higher-levels of understanding, showing that they are accustomed to being asked these kinds of questions.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Check for Understanding	The teacher rarely or never gets an accurate "pulse" at key moments because checks do not gather information about the depth of understanding.	The teacher sometimes gets an accurate "pulse" at key moments by using one or more checks that gather information about the depth of understanding for select students.	The teacher almost always gets an accurate "pulse" at key moments by using one or more checks that gather information about the depth of understanding for a range of students .	The teacher always gets an accurate "pulse" at key moments by using one or more checks that gather information about the depth of understanding for all or nearly all students .	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Scaffolding Learning	When students demonstrate misunderstandings or partial understandings, the teacher rarely or never uses effective scaffolding techniques that enable students to construct their own understandings.	When students demonstrate misunderstandings or partial understandings, the teacher sometimes uses effective scaffolding techniques that enable students to construct their own understandings.	When students demonstrate misunderstandings or partial understandings, the teacher almost always uses effective scaffolding techniques that enable students to construct their own understandings.	When students demonstrate misunderstandings or partial understandings, the teacher always uses effective scaffolding techniques that enable students to construct their own understandings.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3

Note: Language used in this rubric is adapted from The Danielson Group's (2013) *Framework for Learning*.

Eastern High School Teacher Evaluation Rubric

Strand	Ineffective	Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective	Documentation & Artifacts	Point Value
--------	-------------	---------------------	-----------	------------------	---------------------------	-------------

SECTION #4: CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT (0 - 15 points)

Strand	0 points	1 point	2 points	3 points		
Routines & Procedures	Routines and procedures are not evident or are generally ineffective ; the teacher heavily directs activities and transitions, students are frequently unclear about what they should be doing, or students rarely follow the teacher’s directions.	Routines, procedures, and transitions require significant teacher prompting and direction ; students are sometimes unclear about what they should be doing and frequently ask questions or require the teacher to repeat directions.	Routines, procedures, and transitions run smoothly with some prompting from the teacher; students generally know their responsibilities.	Routines, procedures, and transitions are orderly, efficient, and systematic with minimal prompting from the teacher; students know their responsibilities and some students share responsibility for leading the operations and routines in the classroom.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Positive Rapport	There is little or no evidence of a positive rapport between the teacher and the students; or, there is evidence that the teacher has a negative rapport with some students.	The teacher has a positive rapport with some students but not others, but there is no evidence of negative rapport.	The teacher has a positive rapport with many students, as demonstrated by displays of positive affect, evidence of relationship building, and expressions of interest in students’ thoughts and opinions.	The teacher has a positive rapport with all or nearly all students, as demonstrated by displays of positive affect, evidence of relationship building, and expressions of interest in students’ thoughts and opinions.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Safety & Risk-Taking	The classroom environment is not safe for students, such that students are frequently unwilling to take on challenges and risk failure.	The classroom environment is generally safe for students, such that students are willing to take on challenges and risk failure, but there are some exceptions .	The classroom environment is safe for many students, such that students are willing to take on challenges and risk failure.	The classroom environment is safe for all or nearly all students, such that students are willing to take on challenges and risk failure.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Redirecting Unproductive Behavior	Inappropriate or off-task student behavior frequently interrupts or delays the lesson.	Inappropriate or off-task student behavior sometimes interrupts or delays the lesson.	Inappropriate or off-task student behavior rarely interrupts or delays the lesson.	Inappropriate or off-task student behavior never interrupts or delays the lesson, because no such behavior occurs or because when such behavior occurs the teacher efficiently addresses it .	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3
Reinforcing Productive Behavior	The teacher rarely or never reinforces positive behavior and academic persistence; or, the teacher does so for only a few students .	The teacher sometimes reinforces positive behavior and academic persistence, but does not do so in a meaningful way.	The teacher consistently reinforces positive behavior and academic persistence, but does not do so in a meaningful way.	The teacher frequently reinforces positive behavior and academic persistence, and does so in a meaningful way.	Evidence presented throughout the observation cycle	0-3

SECTION #5: COMMITMENT TO SCHOOL COMMUNITY (0 - 6 points)

Strand	0 points	1 point	2 points	3 points		
Student, Family & Community Partnerships	Teacher rarely or never engages students, families, and/or community partners. (Logs 6 hours or less of engagement)	Teacher sometimes engages students, families, and/or community partners. (Logs 7 to 10 hours of engagement)	Teacher consistently engages students, families and/or community partners. (Logs 11 to 15 hours of engagement)	Teacher consistently and innovatively engages students, families, and/or community partners. (Logs 16+ hours of engagement)	Engagement Log	0-3
Teacher Collaboration	Teacher rarely collaborates with colleagues to improve student achievement . (Logs 6 hours or less of collaboration)	Teacher sometimes collaborates with colleagues to improve student achievement . (Logs 7 to 10 hours of collaboration)	Teacher consistently collaborates with colleagues to improve student achievement . (Logs 11 to 15 hours of collaboration)	Teacher consistently and proactively collaborates with colleagues to improve student achievement . (Logs 16+ hours of collaboration)	Collaboration Log	0-3

Note: Language used in this rubric is adapted from The Danielson Group’s (2013) *Framework for Learning*.

Eastern High School Teacher Evaluation Rubric

Strand	Ineffective	Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective	Documentation & Artifacts	Point Value
--------	-------------	---------------------	-----------	------------------	---------------------------	-------------

SECTION #6: CORE PROFESSIONALISM (0 - 12 points)

Strand	0 points	1 point	2 points	3 points		
Teacher Attendance	Individual has 3 or more unexcused absences (i.e., absences that are in violation of procedures set forth by district and building policy).	Individual has 2 unexcused absences (i.e., absences that are in violation of procedures set forth by district and building policy).	Individual has 1 unexcused absence (i.e., absences that are in violation of procedures set forth by district and building policy).	Individual has no absences (i.e., absences that are in violation of procedures set forth by district and building policy).	AESOP, KALPA, Sign-in Sheets, Administrator Documentation	0-3
Teacher Timeliness	Individual has 3 or more late arrivals (i.e., late arrivals that are in violation of procedures set forth by district and building policy).	Individual has 2 late arrivals (i.e., late arrivals that are in violation of procedures set forth by district and building policy).	Individual has 1 late arrival (i.e., late arrivals that are in violation of procedures set forth by district and building policy).	Individual has no late arrivals (i.e., late arrivals that are in violation of procedures set forth by district and building policy).	AESOP, KALPA, Sign-in Sheets, Administrator Documentation	0-3
Support of Policies & Procedures	Individual demonstrates active resistance to following policies and procedures (e.g., evaluation self-assessment, submitting student discipline referrals, principal requested documentation, etc.)	Individual demonstrates a pattern of failing to follow policies and procedures (e.g., evaluation self-assessment, submitting student discipline referrals, principal requested documentation, etc.)	With rare exception , individual follows policies and procedures (e.g., evaluation self-assessment, submitting student discipline referrals, principal requested documentation, etc.)	Individual always follows policies and procedures (e.g., evaluation self-assessment, submitting student discipline referrals, principal requested documentation, etc.)	Administrator Documentation	0-3
Respectful Interactions	Individual demonstrates hostility towards any of the following: students, colleagues, parents, and/or community members.	Individual demonstrates a pattern of failing to interact with students, colleagues, parents, or community members in a respectful manner.	With rare exception , individual interacts with students, colleagues, parents, and community members in a respectful manner.	Individual always interacts with students, colleagues, parents, and community members in a respectful manner.	Administrator Documentation	0-3

Section Totals		
Section 1	Student Achievement	
Section 2	Planning & Preparation	
Section 3	Classroom Instruction	
Section 4	Classroom Environment	
Section 5	Commitment to School Community	
Section 6	Core Professionalism	

OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS RATING (0 - 100 points)

	Ineffective	Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective	Total Points
Total Points	0 – 33 points	34 – 55 points	56 – 82 points	83 – 100 points	

Note: Language used in this rubric is adapted from The Danielson Group’s (2013) *Framework for Learning*.