

School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 18, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the Gier Park Elementary. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Rebecca Stephens, Principal, for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site http://www.edline.net/pages/Gier_Park_School, or you may review a copy in our main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state. Gier Park has not been given one of these labels, and our status has changed from Yellow to Lime, which indicates improvement.

Key challenges for students at Gier Park include the application of math concepts to real world situations, specifically computation and problem solving. The Staff of Gier Park have worked with a highly qualified facilitator in Professional Learning Communities to address the specific needs of our varied population. In addition, we have provided afterschool tutoring, a Jump Start program for incoming students, Extended Year programs for exiting students, and an after-school literacy program. Gier Park will continue to provide focused reading instruction with our Reading Rotation program, as well as our afterschool reading initiative.

Enrollment and assignment of students is processed centrally, but is also handled at the building level during peak enrollment times. Students are assigned to Gier Park Elementary School based on school attendance boundaries and Schools of Choice options (offered once a year). During the academic school year, you may enroll your child at the neighborhood school office.



Gier Park's School Improvement Plan and goals are reviewed annually, and revised as needed for depth, clarity, and best practice. Our goals are data driven, using formative assessment data as a primary source of information guiding fundamental and incremental changes implemented each year. Parents are always a part of our School Improvement review throughout this rigorous

process. Please contact the school office at 755-1360 if you are interested in becoming a member of our team.

Gier Park implements the Common Core State Standards, which can be found at http://www.michiganccr.org/. The Staff of Gier Park uses Curriculum Crafter (a Web-based tool) to access core curriculum and grade level content expectations. Curriculum Crafter instructional units are organized in a developmentally appropriate sequence, building upon the previous units for a smooth academic flow of content. This offers teachers the best possible content for impactful instruction.

For 2012-2013, Gier Park Elementary School earned a status of Yellow on the Michigan School Accountability Scorecard, a federally required report based on overall assessment performance. These scores are based on an average of proficiency target scores. Out of 48 possible points (2012 – 2013), Gier Park scored 34, which gave us an average of 70.8%. For 2013 – 2014, Gier Park Elementary School earned a status of Light Green on the Michigan School Accountability Scorecard. Out of 32 possible points, Gier Park third graders scored 26, indicating we met 81.3% of the proficiency target scores. This is an increase of 10.5%.

Parent-teacher conferences are a very important time for teachers and parents to come together and discuss academic progress. Although this conversation begins prior to conference dates, and continues throughout the year, Gier Park teachers are dedicated to communication with every parent represented in their classroom. During the 2012 – 2013 school year, 329 (88.4%) parent participation was achieved from fall conferences. During the 2013-14 school year, 329 (88.2%) parent participation was achieved from fall conferences.

Gier Park is devoted to providing an outstanding learning community, positive climate, and supportive culture for each child and family. We appreciate the continued support of parents, staff and community in this effort. The Gier Park Staff looks forward to working with all of our students, and their families this coming school year. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions or concerns you may have.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Stephens, Principal



Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.9%	26.5%	38%	1%	37%	27%	35%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	40.1%	26.3%	37.1%	1.4%	35.7%	27.1%	35.7%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	American Indian	2012-13	30.6%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	American Indian	2013-14	33.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	18%	15.7%	25%	0%	25%	43.8%	31.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	18.2%	18.6%	27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	65.6%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	25.7%	24.2%	32.1%	0%	32.1%	28.6%	39.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	26.3%	23.7%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	40%	35.8%	38.5%	0%	38.5%	30.8%	30.8%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	38.1%	27.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	47.4%	38.1%	48.7%	2.6%	46.2%	17.9%	33.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	46.6%	35.8%	48%	0%	48%	32%	20%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	39.8%	26%	40%	2.5%	37.5%	25%	35%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	39.7%	25.2%	38.7%	3.2%	35.5%	22.6%	38.7%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	42%	26.9%	36.7%	0%	36.7%	28.3%	35%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	40.6%	27.4%	35.9%	0%	35.9%	30.8%	33.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	26.8%	22.4%	34.7%	1.3%	33.3%	29.3%	36%



Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	26.9%	24.3%	38.2%	1.8%	36.4%	27.3%	34.5%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	23%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	26.4%	24.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	21.5%	11.1%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	20%	73.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	22.2%	15.2%	20%	0%	20%	10%	70%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	66.5%	52.3%	51%	1%	50%	40%	9%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	61.3%	48.6%	72.1%	5.9%	66.2%	23.5%	4.4%
Reading	3rd Grade	American Indian	2012-13	60.9%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	American Indian	2013-14	58.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	44.8%	44.2%	43.8%	0%	43.8%	43.8%	12.5%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	37.3%	40.9%	60%	0%	60%	40%	0%
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	79%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	53.5%	47.5%	40.7%	0%	40.7%	44.4%	14.8%
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	46.9%	53.5%	69.6%	4.3%	65.2%	26.1%	4.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	67.6%	53.7%	53.8%	0%	53.8%	38.5%	7.7%



Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	61.8%	49.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	73%	64.5%	59%	2.6%	56.4%	38.5%	2.6%
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	68.8%	56.5%	84%	8%	76%	8%	8%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	70.2%	57.8%	55%	0%	55%	32.5%	12.5%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	64.1%	52.2%	73.3%	10%	63.3%	23.3%	3.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	63%	47.3%	48.3%	1.7%	46.7%	45%	6.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	58.6%	45.1%	71.1%	2.6%	68.4%	23.7%	5.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53.8%	47.3%	50.7%	1.3%	49.3%	37.3%	12%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	47.9%	44.5%	71.7%	3.8%	67.9%	24.5%	3.8%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	41.5%	46.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	37.2%	49.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	37.9%	17.9%	7.1%	0%	7.1%	50%	42.9%
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	35.1%	33.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Students Students (Level 1) (Level 2) Proficient Pro	Subject (e Testing Group	rade Testing Group School Year		Students		(Level 2)	Proficient	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
--	-----------	-----------------	--------------------------------	--	----------	--	-----------	------------	----------------------------------

No Data to Display



Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	61.9%	45.2%	50%	0%	50%	50%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	52.5%	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	54.1%	28.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	57%	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	64.4%	45%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.4%	44.8%	50%	0%	50%	50%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	51.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	38.7%	27.5%	25%	0%	25%	75%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	30.4%	18.8%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	29.2%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	38.2%	33.3%	50%	0%	50%	50%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	38.9%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	34.6%	22.9%	25%	0%	25%	75%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	31.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	68.8%	90%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	81.7%	76%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	77.1%	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	61%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	77%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	66.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	79.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	81.7%	83.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	70.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	81.2%	76.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	76.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI-Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	88.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	82.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	89.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	81.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	87.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	84.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI-Access Participation

:	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
					FIUICIEIII	FIUICIEIIL	FTOTICIETIL			

No Data to Display



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	62.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics	0%	18.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	54.1%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	97.5%	39.5%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.6%	82.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	99.1%	51.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	67.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	60.4%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	67.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	49.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	46%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	98.1%	39.7%
All Students	District	Mathematics	98.6%	41.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	9.4%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	100%	45.2%
African American	District	Mathematics	98.2%	32.4%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.2%	46.6%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	99%	41.9%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	99.1%	40.8%
White	District	Mathematics	98.8%	52.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	98.7%	38.8%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	98.8%	36.8%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	98%	30.4%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	53.1%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	0%	4.1%
American Indian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	100%	44.2%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	School	Mathematics	100%	68.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	52.8%
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99.1%	85.8%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading	0%	60.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Reading	99%	83.4%
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.9%	72.2%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	99.5%	91.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	80.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	87.3%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.3%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	89.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	78.5%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	99%	69.8%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.4%	56.2%
All Students	District	Reading	98.2%	73.5%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	23.5%
American Indian	District	Reading	100%	83.9%
African American	District	Reading	97.8%	67.7%
Asian	District	Reading	98%	65.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	98.8%	76.9%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	99.4%	77.4%
White	District	Reading	98.3%	79.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	98.6%	70.9%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	94.6%	62.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	97.9%	45.5%
All Students	School	Reading	100%	75.5%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	0%	28.6%
American Indian	School	Reading	<30	<30
African American	School	Reading	<30	<30
Asian	School	Reading	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	100%	71.2%
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	100%	82.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	100%	77%
English Language Learners	School	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Science	98.3%	42.9%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science	0%	1.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	98.4%	35.6%
African American	Statewide	Science	95.8%	14.9%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.4%	61.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	98.5%	26.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	99.1%	48.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.9%	40.6%
White	Statewide	Science	98.9%	50.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97.4%	26.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98.4%	11.2%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	97.2%	16.1%
All Students	District	Science	98%	19.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Science	100%	35.5%
African American	District	Science	98%	12.1%
Asian	District	Science	99%	18.3%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	98%	15.2%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	99.1%	14.9%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
White	District	Science	97.5%	33.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	98%	15.6%
English Language Learners	District	Science	98%	6.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	97.6%	11.5%
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	97.3%	57.3%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies	0%	9.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	97.7%	49.7%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	93.6%	28.4%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99.1%	74.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	42.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	98.9%	65.5%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	53.8%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	64.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.8%	40.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	22.7%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	92.3%	21.7%
All Students	District	Social Studies	94.8%	30.6%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	District	Social Studies	92.9%	22.9%
Asian	District	Social Studies	98.9%	24.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	96.2%	27.3%
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	97.4%	29.1%
White	District	Social Studies	95.8%	45.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	94.6%	24.5%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	97%	14.5%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	82.9%	9.6%
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	73.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing	0%	26.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	63.2%
African American	Statewide	Writing	96.4%	54.4%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Asian	Statewide	Writing	99%	86%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Writing	98.8%	64.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	99%	76.6%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	99.1%	72.8%
White	Statewide	Writing	99%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Writing	97.8%	61.3%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	98%	51.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	35.2%
All Students	District	Writing	97.8%	54.1%
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30
African American	District	Writing	97.5%	47.5%
Asian	District	Writing	96.5%	49.3%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	98.8%	56.6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	100%	56.7%
White	District	Writing	97.6%	61.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	98%	50.3%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	95%	40.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	97.1%	27.7%
All Students	School	Writing	0%	63%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	<30	<30
American Indian	School	Writing	<30	<30
African American	School	Writing	<30	<30
Asian	School	Writing	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	0%	66.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	0%	63.4%
English Language Learners	School	Writing	<30	<30



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	77%
American Indian	Statewide	64.1%
African American	Statewide	60.5%
Asian	Statewide	87.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	67.3%
Migrant	Statewide	70.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	69.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.9%
White	Statewide	82.1%
Female	Statewide	81.5%
Male	Statewide	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	63.9%
English Language Learners	Statewide	65.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.6%
Homeless	Statewide	54.2%
All Students	District	56%
African American	District	54.9%
Asian	District	62.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	54.7%
White	District	56.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	51.5%
English Language Learners	District	62.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	33.3%
Bottom 30%	District	90.5%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94.3%
All Students	District	95%
All Students	School	100%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Status District Data

		District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
--	--	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
Lansing Public School District	Gier Park School		Green	2	Green	2	Green	2					Lime	26



Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School	0	8	23	1

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ ‡	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1	21 64 51 12 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0	29 6 13 30 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	26 68 58 26 0	42 27 33 32 0 0	30 5 9 35 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0	28 61 47 23 0 0	35 27 32 32 32 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0 2	17 46 31 17 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0	34 11 20 39 0 0	3 1 2 14 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	20 52 34 21 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading				5.2 4.0