

## Mt. Hope Elementary School

## School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 16, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the Mt. Hope Elementary School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Elizabeth Jones for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <u>http://mounthope.lansingschools.net/pages/MtHope\_School</u> or you may review a copy in the main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state. Our school has not been identified as a Reward, Focus, or Priority school.

Our test results show fourth grade students are improving in all areas. Students were close to the state averages in reading with significant growth for African American students who achieved 75.8% proficiency on the 4<sup>th</sup> grade test. Students in grades 5 and 6 showed an overall decline in their test scores and fell well-below the state's average in all content areas. We continue to have a disproportionate number of African-American students scoring not proficient on the MEAP, compared to other ethnic groups. White students' proficiency rate in 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> grade math is more than three times greater than African-American student rates.

Our school is transitioning to a magnet school with a focus on STEAM (science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics). We plan to continue to analyze and monitor our student achievement data in efforts to close the achievement gap in various demographic groups. We will have a student assistance provider who will help determine underlying causes for student behavioral issues that may impede student achievement.



State law requires that we also report additional information.

- 1. During the 2013-14 school year, students were assigned to Mt. Hope Elementary School based upon the school boundaries for attendance area and schools of choice. For the current school year, all students must apply and submit an enrollment form to attend the Mt. Hope STEAM Magnet School. We have become a school of choice for all students who wish to attend.
- 2. Mt. Hope Elementary School monitors the implementation of the School Improvement Plan throughout the school year. Staff meeting time is allocated for the discussion of items in the plan and to make adjustments to activities, as needed.
- 3. At Mt. Hope STEAM Magnet School, we will use the teaching method of Project Based Learning, or PBL, to help students learn better. Projects give students the chance to apply the skills they learn in school to personally relevant and real-world situations. The students in our school will learn how to think like young engineers and design products that may be solutions to real-world problems. They will apply their knowledge of science and mathematics, learn skills to think critically, work in teams, make presentations, and use technology.
- 4. Parent-friendly guides to the core curriculum will be made available at our school. Our staff will provide outlines of the curriculum to parents and discuss expectations for grade level competencies during conferences.
- 5. MEAP student achievement in reading for grades 5 and 6 declined by 3.8%, but increased by 4.4% for grade 4. The average decrease in math for grades 5 and 6 was 2.3%, but grade 4 increased by 5.5%. Writing in grade 4 increased by 14.8%, grade 5 science decreased by 2.7% and grade 6 social studies scores decreased by 9.8%.
- 6. Parent-Teacher Conference Attendance Data:

Year	Fall
2012	247 (95%)
2013	247 (92.9%)

Mt. Hope STEAM School will continue to analyze data and incorporate instructional strategies to engage students in their learning. We anticipate an increase in student achievement across all grade levels.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth M. Jones Principal

It is the policy of the Lansing School District that no discriminatory practices based on gender, race, religion, color, age, national origin, disability, height, weight, or any other status covered by federal, state, or local law be allowed in providing instructional opportunities, programs, services, job placement assistance, employment or in policies governing student conduct and attendance. An person suspecting a discriminatory practice should contact the Department of Human Resources at the Lansing School District, 519 W. Kalamazoo Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 or call (517 755-2000.



Mt. Hope School

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.1%	21.9%	37.9%	2.1%	35.8%	14.7%	47.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.3%	22.4%	41.3%	5.4%	35.9%	17.4%	41.3%
Mathematics	4th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	39.8%	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	20%	15.3%	25%	0%	25%	20.8%	54.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	18.2%	10.6%	33.3%	3%	30.3%	24.2%	42.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	71.4%	12.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	33.3%	17%	21.7%	4.3%	17.4%	13%	65.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	29.3%	24.8%	40%	0%	40%	10%	50%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	44.3%	30.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	43.8%	31.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	53%	31.2%	50%	2.9%	47.1%	5.9%	44.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2013-14	52.9%	31.7%	51.5%	12.1%	39.4%	15.2%	33.3%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	45.7%	21.3%	35%	0%	35%	12.5%	52.5%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	43.4%	20.9%	40%	0%	40%	22.9%	37.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	46.4%	22.3%	40%	3.6%	36.4%	16.4%	43.6%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	47.2%	23.9%	42.1%	8.8%	33.3%	14%	43.9%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	31.1%	18.9%	31.7%	1.6%	30.2%	20.6%	47.6%
Mathematics Page 1 of 34	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	29.5%	18.9%	40.6%	4.3% A se	36.2% vice of the Center for	14.5% Educational Perform	44.9% ance and Information



Mt. Hope School

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	24.4%	8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	23.1%	22.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	23%	8.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	23.2%	7.7%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	0%	90.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	45.7%	22.2%	31.2%	2.6%	28.6%	18.2%	50.6%
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.2%	22.1%	29.5%	6.8%	22.7%	18.2%	52.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	34.7%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	American Indian	2013-14	35.9%	15.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	20.5%	15.8%	15%	5%	10%	10%	75%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	20%	14.2%	16%	4%	12%	32%	52%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	73.6%	21.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	31.7%	16.7%	12.5%	0%	12.5%	18.8%	68.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	32.3%	18.2%	15.8%	0%	15.8%	15.8%	68.4%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	42.7%	16.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	43.2%	26.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Mt. Hope School

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	52.4%	38.3%	48.6%	2.9%	45.7%	17.1%	34.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2013-14	51.7%	32.8%	51.6%	16.1%	35.5%	9.7%	38.7%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	43.9%	20.7%	27.9%	2.3%	25.6%	18.6%	53.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	44.7%	21.3%	24.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	51.2%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	47.5%	23.6%	35.3%	2.9%	32.4%	17.6%	47.1%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	45.7%	22.7%	34%	4.3%	29.8%	12.8%	53.2%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	30.3%	18%	28.8%	0%	28.8%	19.2%	51.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	29.5%	19.7%	25.4%	2.8%	22.5%	16.9%	57.7%
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	22.9%	6.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	23.1%	13.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	19.9%	8.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	20%	4.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.2%	14.7%	27.5%	1.3%	26.3%	20%	52.5%
Mathematics	6th Grade	All Students	2013-14	41.5%	16.7%	24.6%	6.6%	18%	21.3%	54.1%
Mathematics	6th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	29.4%	18.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	American Indian	2013-14	27.7%	7.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Mt. Hope School

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	6th Grade	African American	2012-13	15.9%	9.3%	14.3%	0%	14.3%	25%	60.7%
Mathematics	6th Grade	African American	2013-14	17.6%	12%	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Asian	2012-13	70.8%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	26.3%	10.5%	15%	0%	15%	20%	65%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	27.6%	14.8%	14.3%	0%	14.3%	35.7%	50%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	36.1%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	39.1%	6.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	White	2012-13	46.6%	23.5%	44%	4%	40%	16%	40%
Mathematics	6th Grade	White	2013-14	47.6%	26.6%	41.7%	12.5%	29.2%	12.5%	45.8%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Female	2012-13	38.8%	15.4%	26.5%	2.9%	23.5%	20.6%	52.9%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Female	2013-14	40.9%	15.5%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	29.6%	48.1%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Male	2012-13	41.4%	14%	28.3%	0%	28.3%	19.6%	52.2%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Male	2013-14	42%	17.8%	26.5%	2.9%	23.5%	14.7%	58.8%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	24.6%	11.4%	15.4%	0%	15.4%	23.1%	61.5%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	25.9%	13.4%	22%	4%	18%	24%	54%
Mathematics	6th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	18.2%	1.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Mt. Hope School

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	6th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	18.2%	7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	13.2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Mathematics	6th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	14.3%	2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	68.1%	49.4%	65.3%	8.4%	56.8%	27.4%	7.4%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	70%	51.9%	69.6%	6.5%	63%	21.7%	8.7%
Reading	4th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	66.4%	70.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	43%	42.7%	58.3%	12.5%	45.8%	33.3%	8.3%
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	47.6%	44.1%	75.8%	0%	75.8%	21.2%	3%
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	79.2%	36.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	57.5%	43.2%	47.8%	0%	47.8%	39.1%	13%
Reading	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	57.8%	51%	60%	5%	55%	25%	15%
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	68.7%	66%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	71.2%	55.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	75.1%	58.1%	70.6%	11.8%	58.8%	23.5%	5.9%
Reading	4th Grade	White	2013-14	76.5%	62.1%	66.7%	15.2%	51.5%	21.2%	12.1%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	71.1%	52.9%	57.5%	10%	47.5%	32.5%	10%



Mt. Hope School

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	73%	56.1%	80%	2.9%	77.1%	20%	0%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	65.1%	46.3%	70.9%	7.3%	63.6%	23.6%	5.5%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	67%	47.9%	63.2%	8.8%	54.4%	22.8%	14%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.1%	46.1%	66.7%	4.8%	61.9%	23.8%	9.5%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	57.3%	48.7%	72.5%	2.9%	69.6%	18.8%	8.7%
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	39.1%	28.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	42.9%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	38.3%	20.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	41.6%	27.9%	18.2%	0%	18.2%	36.4%	45.5%
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	70.4%	55.3%	64.6%	12.7%	51.9%	24.1%	11.4%
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	71.7%	54.9%	60.9%	23%	37.9%	24.1%	14.9%
Reading	5th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	64.1%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	American Indian	2013-14	70.2%	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.8%	46.6%	55%	0%	55%	30%	15%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	48.7%	47.3%	58.3%	16.7%	41.7%	20.8%	20.8%
Reading	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	80%	35.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Mt. Hope School

### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	58.1%	53%	58.8%	17.6%	41.2%	23.5%	17.6%
Reading	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	60.8%	51.1%	36.8%	10.5%	26.3%	42.1%	21.1%
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	70.4%	48.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	72.6%	63.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	76.9%	73.3%	69.4%	19.4%	50%	22.2%	8.3%
Reading	5th Grade	White	2013-14	78.2%	67.9%	67.7%	38.7%	29%	22.6%	9.7%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	74.1%	59%	56.8%	15.9%	40.9%	29.5%	13.6%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	74.2%	60.1%	57.5%	25%	32.5%	27.5%	15%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	66.8%	51.8%	74.3%	8.6%	65.7%	17.1%	8.6%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	69.2%	50.3%	63.8%	21.3%	42.6%	21.3%	14.9%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	57.9%	51.2%	61.5%	11.5%	50%	26.9%	11.5%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.4%	51.7%	61.4%	20%	41.4%	22.9%	15.7%
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	36.3%	27.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	39.2%	35%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	36.6%	23.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Page 7 of 34

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



Mt. Hope School

#### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	41.1%	20.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	All Students	2012-13	68.2%	46.4%	59.5%	22.8%	36.7%	22.8%	17.7%
Reading	6th Grade	All Students	2013-14	71.5%	53.8%	55.7%	21.3%	34.4%	26.2%	18%
Reading	6th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	61.1%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	American Indian	2013-14	64.6%	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	African American	2012-13	46.4%	37.4%	46.4%	21.4%	25%	32.1%	21.4%
Reading	6th Grade	African American	2013-14	50%	47.4%	55.6%	5.6%	50%	22.2%	22.2%
Reading	6th Grade	Asian	2012-13	82.3%	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	57.6%	51.5%	55%	5%	50%	20%	25%
Reading	6th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	60.1%	61.6%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	50%	7.1%
Reading	6th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	67%	63.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	70.4%	43.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	White	2012-13	74.3%	57.1%	79.2%	41.7%	37.5%	8.3%	12.5%
Reading	6th Grade	White	2013-14	77.7%	61.2%	62.5%	37.5%	25%	16.7%	20.8%
Reading	6th Grade	Female	2012-13	71.2%	48.2%	64.7%	32.4%	32.4%	23.5%	11.8%
Reading	6th Grade	Female	2013-14	74.7%	55.1%	59.3%	25.9%	33.3%	33.3%	7.4%
Reading	6th Grade	Male	2012-13	65.4%	44.7%	55.6%	15.6%	40%	22.2%	22.2%
Reading	6th Grade	Male	2013-14	68.4%	52.6%	52.9%	17.6%	35.3%	20.6%	26.5%

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



Mt. Hope School

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	56.4%	43.6%	55.8%	19.2%	36.5%	23.1%	21.2%
Reading	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.2%	49.8%	52%	16%	36%	26%	22%
Reading	6th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	36.7%	18.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	36.8%	23.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	32.8%	12.8%	20%	0%	20%	30%	50%
Reading	6th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	33.6%	28.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	13.1%	5.9%	15.2%	6.3%	8.9%	22.8%	62%
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	16.8%	6%	12.5%	5.7%	6.8%	25%	62.5%
Science	5th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	8.4%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	American Indian	2013-14	12.6%	15.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	2.6%	1.9%	5%	5%	0%	0%	95%
Science	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	3.3%	1.5%	4%	0%	4%	24%	72%
Science	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	30.1%	3.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	5.4%	5.2%	0%	0%	0%	29.4%	70.6%
Science	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	7.7%	2.2%	0%	0%	0%	10.5%	89.5%



Mt. Hope School

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	12.2%	6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	15.2%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2012-13	16%	12.3%	27.8%	11.1%	16.7%	30.6%	41.7%
Science	5th Grade	White	2013-14	20.7%	14.4%	29%	16.1%	12.9%	35.5%	35.5%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	11.6%	5.2%	15.9%	9.1%	6.8%	18.2%	65.9%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	15.9%	5.2%	14.6%	4.9%	9.8%	17.1%	68.3%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	14.5%	6.6%	14.3%	2.9%	11.4%	28.6%	57.1%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	17.7%	6.6%	10.6%	6.4%	4.3%	31.9%	57.4%
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	5.8%	4%	13.5%	3.8%	9.6%	15.4%	71.2%
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	8%	4.5%	9.9%	2.8%	7%	25.4%	64.8%
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	1.4%	1.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	2.9%	1.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	4.1%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	5.6%	2.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



### Mt. Hope School

#### Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--	----------------------------------

No Data to Display



## Mt. Hope School

### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	57.5%	33.3%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	66.7%
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	47%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	47.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	63.6%	14.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	57.9%	41.7%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	66.7%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.5%	35.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	58.5%	31.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	64%	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	55.1%	18.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.3%	37%	66.7%	66.7%	0%	33.3%
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	36.3%	31.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	36.3%	66.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	51.4%	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	44%	52.9%	66.7%	66.7%	0%	33.3%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	43.3%	42.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	All Students	2012-13	58.7%	44.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	African American	2012-13	49.3%	30.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	White	2012-13	62.3%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading Page 12 of 34	6th Grade	Male	2012-13	56.5%	50%	<10	<10 A service of the Centor	<10 er for Educational Perfe	<10 prmance and Information



### Mt. Hope School

#### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient		School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Reading		Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.6%	36.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10



## Mt. Hope School

#### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	6th Grade	All Students	2012-13	76.1%	57.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	All Students	2012-13	86.5%	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	African American	2012-13	74.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	77.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	92.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Male	2012-13	77.5%	76.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Male	2012-13	85.4%	90.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	76.7%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	6th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	86.4%	84.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10



### Mt. Hope School

#### **MI-Access Supported Independence**

Students     Students     Students     Students     (Level 1)     (Level 2)     (Level 3)       Proficient     Proficient     Proficient     Proficient     Proficient     Proficient	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---	---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

No Data to Display



### Mt. Hope School

#### **MI-Access Participation**

	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year		Students				% Emerging (Level 3)
--	---------	-------	---------------	-------------	--	----------	--	--	--	-------------------------

No Data to Display



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	62.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics	0%	18.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	54.1%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	97.5%	39.5%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.6%	82.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	99.1%	51.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	67.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	60.4%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	67.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	49.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	46%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	98.1%	39.7%
All Students	District	Mathematics	98.6%	41.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	9.4%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	100%	45.2%
African American	District	Mathematics	98.2%	32.4%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.2%	46.6%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	99%	41.9%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	99.1%	40.8%
White	District	Mathematics	98.8%	52.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	98.7%	38.8%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	98.8%	36.8%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	98%	30.4%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	53.3%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	0%	15.9%
American Indian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	School	Mathematics	100%	48.3%
Asian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	100%	44.6%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	School	Mathematics	100%	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	48%
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99.1%	85.8%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading	0%	60.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Reading	99%	83.4%
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.9%	72.2%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	99.5%	91.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	80.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	87.3%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.3%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	89.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	78.5%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	99%	69.8%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.4%	56.2%
All Students	District	Reading	98.2%	73.5%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	23.5%
American Indian	District	Reading	100%	83.9%
African American	District	Reading	97.8%	67.7%
Asian	District	Reading	98%	65.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	98.8%	76.9%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	99.4%	77.4%
White	District	Reading	98.3%	79.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	98.6%	70.9%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	94.6%	62.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	97.9%	45.5%
All Students	School	Reading	100%	84.2%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	0%	52.9%
American Indian	School	Reading	<30	<30
African American	School	Reading	100%	79.3%
Asian	School	Reading	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	100%	76.4%
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	100%	89.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	100%	81.6%
English Language Learners	School	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Science	98.3%	42.9%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science	0%	1.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	98.4%	35.6%
African American	Statewide	Science	95.8%	14.9%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.4%	61.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	98.5%	26.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	99.1%	48.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.9%	40.6%
White	Statewide	Science	98.9%	50.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97.4%	26.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98.4%	11.2%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	97.2%	16.1%
All Students	District	Science	98%	19.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Science	100%	35.5%
African American	District	Science	98%	12.1%
Asian	District	Science	99%	18.3%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	98%	15.2%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	99.1%	14.9%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
White	District	Science	97.5%	33.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	98%	15.6%
English Language Learners	District	Science	98%	6.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	97.6%	11.5%
All Students	School	Science	100%	20.9%
Bottom 30%	School	Science	<30	<30
American Indian	School	Science	<30	<30
African American	School	Science	<30	<30
Asian	School	Science	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Science	<30	<30
White	School	Science	100%	38.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	100%	16.9%
English Language Learners	School	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	97.3%	57.3%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies	0%	9.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	97.7%	49.7%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	93.6%	28.4%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99.1%	74.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	42.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	98.9%	65.5%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	53.8%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	64.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.8%	40.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	22.7%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	92.3%	21.7%
All Students	District	Social Studies	94.8%	30.6%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	District	Social Studies	92.9%	22.9%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Asian	District	Social Studies	98.9%	24.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	96.2%	27.3%
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	97.4%	29.1%
White	District	Social Studies	95.8%	45.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	94.6%	24.5%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	97%	14.5%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	82.9%	9.6%
All Students	School	Social Studies	100%	37.5%
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
American Indian	School	Social Studies		
African American	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	School	Social Studies	100%	51.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	100%	26.7%
English Language Learners	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	73.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing	0%	26.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	63.2%
African American	Statewide	Writing	96.4%	54.4%
Asian	Statewide	Writing	99%	86%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Writing	98.8%	64.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	99%	76.6%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	99.1%	72.8%
White	Statewide	Writing	99%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Writing	97.8%	61.3%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	98%	51.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	35.2%
All Students	District	Writing	97.8%	54.1%
Bottom 30% Page 21 of 34	District	Writing	0%	0% tional Performance and Information



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30
African American	District	Writing	97.5%	47.5%
Asian	District	Writing	96.5%	49.3%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	98.8%	56.6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	100%	56.7%
White	District	Writing	97.6%	61.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	98%	50.3%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	95%	40.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	97.1%	27.7%
All Students	School	Writing	97.8%	54.8%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	<30	<30
American Indian	School	Writing	<30	<30
African American	School	Writing	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	97.1%	50%
English Language Learners	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



### Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	77%
American Indian	Statewide	64.1%
African American	Statewide	60.5%
Asian	Statewide	87.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	67.3%
Migrant	Statewide	70.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	69.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.9%
White	Statewide	82.1%
Female	Statewide	81.5%
Male	Statewide	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	63.9%
English Language Learners	Statewide	65.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.6%
Homeless	Statewide	54.2%
All Students	District	56%
African American	District	54.9%
Asian	District	62.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	54.7%
White	District	56.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	51.5%
English Language Learners	District	62.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	33.3%
Bottom 30%	District	90.5%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



#### Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94.3%
All Students	District	95%
All Students	School	99%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Mt. Hope School

#### Accountability Status District Data

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



Mt. Hope School

#### Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
Lansing Public School District	Mt. Hope School		Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Yellow	40



# **Teacher Quality - Qualification**

	Other	B.A.	М.А.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		3	17	1

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

#### **Teacher Quality - Class**

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

#### **Teacher Quality - Provisional**

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



#### NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ 2	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡ 16	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡ 24	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡ 10
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1 2	21 64 51 12 0 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0 0	29 6 13 30 0 0 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1 1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 1	26 68 58 26 0 0 0	42 27 33 32 0 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### **NAEP Grade 4 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0 0	28 61 47 23 0 0 0	35 27 32 32 0 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

#### # Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



#### **NAEP Grade 8 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0 2	17 46 31 17 0 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0 0	34 11 20 39 0 0 0	3 1 2 14 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

#### # Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



#### NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 0	20 52 34 21 0 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

#### # Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



### **NAEP** Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading	84 76	3.6 3.3	-	5.2 4.0