

August 15, 2012

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2011-2012 educational progress for Post Oak Elementary School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Principal, Camela Diaz for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <u>http://postoak.lansingschools.net/pages/Post_Oak_School</u> or you may review a copy from the main office at your child's school.

AYP Status is based on student participation and proficiency in mathematics and reading. Attendance targets must be met for elementary and middle schools and graduation rate targets must be met for high schools and districts.

For 2011-2012, Post Oak Elementary School did not make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) due to the fact that we did not attain target achievement goals for our students with disabilities subgroup of students in the area of reading. While we are disappointed not to have reached this important goal, we are continuously working to improve the academic achievement of all of our students. We appreciate the continued support of parents, staff and our community in this effort.

Information used to rank schools is based on the development of the Top to Bottom list of schools and their performance. Each school's Top to Bottom ranking will be based on student achievement, student growth over time, school improvement over time and achievement gaps across all five tested subjects (mathematics, reading, science, social studies and writing).

As a former magnet school which continues to offer a unique program, Post Oak requires all new students to submit an application for enrollment. The applications are reviewed by the school principal and approved until all spaces at each grade level are filled.

In regard to our School Improvement Plan, we are working toward the development of academic skills across all core subject areas on a daily basis, as well as addressing each child's social/emotional development. We continue to teach mathematics using an inquiry based, investigative model. This teaching design utilizes a multitude of manipulatives and other activity based methods to teach and reinforce basic math concepts required through our new Common Core State Standards. English language arts also continues as a main focus based on the fact that historically our students have struggled to meet the standards set forth in the writing component of our state assessment. We completely updated our School Improvement Plan this year with a more strategic focus for the early grades.

We have opted to continue the use of the DRA as well as the DIBELS reading assessments at all grade levels to monitor the progress of our students in early literacy skills. Our data shows strong improvement over the course of a school year, however, we still have a significant percentage of students who are not at the proficient level in their skill acquisition. The Lansing School District does not administer any local competency tests.

The Post Oak staff is continuously adjusting their teaching practices. We use student and staff reflections throughout our unit planners to help guide, review and ultimately adjust our lessons for the future. In addition, we have looked at the vertical and horizontal alignment of our curriculum and as a result have virtually eliminated the unnecessary overlap of conceptual presentation. Ultimately this allows our students to develop a deeper knowledge of a broader range of skills. We are looking forward to continuing to see the growth in our students over time.

Post Oak Elementary School focus is the International Baccalaureate program and we offer Chinese language options for all students. The IB program is a globally focused inquiry based educational approach which nurtures the development of the whole child. The staff of Post Oak Elementary School, as a team with parents and the community, is committed to developing internationally minded students who recognize their common humanity and shared guardianship of the planet, helping to create a better and more peaceful world.

We follow the Common Core State Standards which may be found on the Michigan Department of Education website or on the Lansing School District's website under the Curriculum Department link.

We traditionally have a very positive response to our scheduled parent/teacher conferences. However, in the 2011-2012 school year we had lower percentages than in the recent past. For the fall conferences of 2011 we had 90% of our

families attend. In the spring of 2012, we had slightly less attend conferences at 88%.

Post Oak Magnet School had met the targets for AYP for the last eight (8) years and fell short – even with the new increased requirements - by only a very small margin this year. Although I am disappointed, I am also very proud of the students and staff at Post Oak Elementary School for all of their hard work each year as they continue to grow and meet increasing AYP targets set by the State of Michigan. I also applaud the parents for their ongoing support of their child(ren) and our school. We could not accomplish all that we do without you.

Respectfully,

Camela S. Diaz

Camela S. Diaz Principal

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	03	All Students	2010-11	98.8%	63.2%	54%	53%	1.2%	51.8%	30.1%	16.9%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	All Students	2011-12	100%	62.4%	49.3%	42%	3.7%	38.3%	45.7%	12.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	American Indian or Alaska Native	2011-12	<10	59.3%	72.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Asian	2010-11	<10	76.7%	34%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Asian	2011-12	<10	73.7%	42.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Black or African American	2010-11	96.2%	40.6%	51.5%	60%	4%	56%	28%	12%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Black or African American	2011-12	100%	38.4%	42.6%	14.3%	0%	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	100%	47.3%	46.3%	20%	0%	20%	53.3%	26.7%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	100%	47.6%	44.8%	38.5%	0%	38.5%	53.8%	7.7%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Two or More Races	2010-11	<10	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	63%	58.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	White	2010-11	100%	70.3%	65.2%	60%	0%	60%	22.9%	17.1%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	White	2011-12	100%	69.8%	57.4%	50%	5%	45%	40%	10%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Female	2010-11	100%	66.8%	58%	65%	0%	65%	27.5%	7.5%

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Female	2011-12	100%	65.9%	51.7%	41%	5.1%	35.9%	48.7%	10.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Male	2010-11	97.7%	59.6%	50.2%	41.9%	2.3%	39.5%	32.6%	25.6%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Male	2011-12	100%	59%	47.2%	42.9%	2.4%	40.5%	42.9%	14.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	98.2%	50.3%	50.1%	36.4%	0%	36.4%	40%	23.6%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	49.5%	45%	37.1%	0%	37.1%	40%	22.9%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Limited English Proficient	2010-11	<10	33.3%	38%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Limited English Proficient	2011-12	100%	34.7%	26.3%	30%	0%	30%	50%	20%
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	32.2%	26.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	03	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	34.3%	30.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	All Students	2010-11	100%	63.5%	47%	55.8%	4.7%	51.2%	34.9%	9.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	All Students	2011-12	100%	67.7%	55.7%	59.7%	5.2%	54.5%	19.5%	20.8%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Asian	2010-11	<10	77.7%	40%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Asian	2011-12	<10	81%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Black or African American	2010-11	<10	40.8%	41%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Black or African American	2011-12	100%	45.1%	50%	60.9%	4.3%	56.5%	17.4%	21.7%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	100%	49.8%	45.1%	25%	8.3%	16.7%	50%	25%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	100%	54.1%	51.7%	36.8%	5.3%	31.6%	36.8%	26.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	66.6%	37.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	White	2010-11	100%	70.5%	57.6%	70%	5%	65%	25%	5%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	White	2011-12	100%	74.4%	71.3%	72%	4%	68%	16%	12%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Female	2010-11	100%	67.4%	49.2%	58.6%	3.4%	55.2%	31%	10.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Female	2011-12	100%	71.7%	63%	75.7%	5.4%	70.3%	13.5%	10.8%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Male	2010-11	100%	59.7%	44.7%	50%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%	7.1%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Male	2011-12	100%	63.7%	48.6%	45%	5%	40%	25%	30%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	100%	50%	43.6%	51.6%	0%	51.6%	38.7%	9.7%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	55%	49.6%	53.7%	4.9%	48.8%	22%	24.4%
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Limited English Proficient	2011-12	<10	38.8%	21.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	29.7%	27%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	04	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	100%	35%	27.7%	30%	0%	30%	0%	70%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	All Students	2010-11	100%	65.3%	51.9%	51.9%	9.6%	42.3%	26.9%	21.2%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	All Students	2011-12	100%	68.8%	54%	59.5%	9.5%	50%	28.6%	11.9%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	American Indian or Alaska Native	2010-11	<10	58.3%	52.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Asian	2010-11	<10	79.5%	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Asian	2011-12	<10	81.1%	47.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Black or African American	2010-11	100%	45.4%	45%	16.7%	0%	16.7%	50%	33.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Black or African American	2011-12	<10	48.3%	50.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	51.8%	56.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	100%	57%	47.6%	40%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%	26.7%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	White	2010-11	100%	71.4%	61.6%	76%	12%	64%	16%	8%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	White	2011-12	100%	74.8%	62%	80%	6.7%	73.3%	20%	0%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Female	2010-11	100%	68.4%	53.9%	53.6%	7.1%	46.4%	28.6%	17.9%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Female	2011-12	100%	71.7%	55.1%	66.7%	14.8%	51.9%	22.2%	11.1%

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Male	2010-11	100%	62.2%	50%	50%	12.5%	37.5%	25%	25%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Male	2011-12	100%	65.9%	52.8%	46.7%	0%	46.7%	40%	13.3%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	100%	51.9%	49.1%	45%	5%	40%	27.5%	27.5%
English anguage Arts / Reading	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	56.1%	50.6%	68.2%	9.1%	59.1%	13.6%	18.2%
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Limited English Proficient	2010-11	<10	29.8%	11.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English Language Arts / Reading	05	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	28%	28.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
English anguage Arts / Reading	05	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	34.2%	21.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Athematics	03	All Students	2010-11	100%	34.8%	23.3%	31%	1.2%	29.8%	27.4%	41.7%
Athematics	03	All Students	2011-12	100%	36.3%	18.8%	9.6%	0%	9.6%	30.1%	60.2%
1athematics	03	American Indian or Alaska Native	2011-12	<10	28.8%	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
lathematics	03	Asian	2010-11	<10	64.3%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
lathematics	03	Asian	2011-12	<10	62.9%	23.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Aathematics	03	Black or African American	2010-11	100%	14.6%	16.9%	26.9%	3.8%	23.1%	34.6%	38.5%
Nathematics	03	Black or African American	2011-12	100%	14.5%	9.8%	0%	0%	0%	28.6%	71.4%
Nathematics	03	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	100%	21.8%	19.3%	13.3%	0%	13.3%	20%	66.7%
Nathematics	03	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	100%	23%	17.6%	0%	0%	0%	42.9%	57.1%
Nathematics	03	Two or More Races	2010-11	<10	31.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	34.4%	23.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
lathematics	03	White	2010-11	100%	40.5%	34.9%	34.3%	0%	34.3%	25.7%	40%
Mathematics	03	White	2011-12	100%	42.3%	26.7%	15%	0%	15%	22.5%	62.5%

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	03	Female	2010-11	100%	33.4%	20.5%	25%	0%	25%	30%	45%
Mathematics	03	Female	2011-12	100%	34.9%	16.6%	7.5%	0%	7.5%	27.5%	65%
Vathematics	03	Male	2010-11	100%	36.3%	25.8%	36.4%	2.3%	34.1%	25%	38.6%
Vathematics	03	Male	2011-12	100%	37.6%	20.8%	11.6%	0%	11.6%	32.6%	55.8%
Vathematics	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	100%	21.6%	19.8%	16.1%	0%	16.1%	26.8%	57.1%
Mathematics	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	23%	15%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	22.2%	69.4%
Vathematics	03	Limited English Proficient	2010-11	<10	19.7%	9.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Limited English Proficient	2011-12	100%	21.9%	12.8%	18.2%	0%	18.2%	27.3%	54.5%
Mathematics	03	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	17.2%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	18.5%	11.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	All Students	2010-11	100%	39.6%	20.8%	32.6%	0%	32.6%	11.6%	55.8%
Mathematics	04	All Students	2011-12	100%	39.9%	21.5%	32.5%	1.3%	31.2%	23.4%	44.2%
Mathematics	04	Asian	2010-11	<10	68.2%	30.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Asian	2011-12	<10	68.1%	15.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Vathematics	04	Black or African American	2010-11	<10	17.3%	14.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Black or African American	2011-12	100%	15.9%	15.7%	40.9%	4.5%	36.4%	9.1%	50%
Vathematics	04	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	100%	25.1%	19.6%	16.7%	0%	16.7%	0%	83.3%
Vathematics	04	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	100%	26.1%	16%	15%	0%	15%	35%	50%
Mathematics	04	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	38.6%	12.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	White	2010-11	100%	46%	28.8%	40%	0%	40%	25%	35%
Mathematics	04	White	2011-12	100%	46.4%	36%	36%	0%	36%	32%	32%
Mathematics	04	Female	2010-11	100%	37.8%	18.1%	34.5%	0%	34.5%	10.3%	55.2%
Mathematics	04	Female	2011-12	100%	38.5%	21.5%	34.2%	0%	34.2%	26.3%	39.5%
Mathematics	04	Male	2010-11	100%	41.4%	23.7%	28.6%	0%	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%
Mathematics	04	Male	2011-12	100%	41.2%	21.5%	30.8%	2.6%	28.2%	20.5%	48.7%
Mathematics Page 6 of 29	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	100%	25.3%	18.8%	29%	0%	29%	9.7%	61.3%

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	25.3%	15.4%	19.5%	0%	19.5%	24.4%	56.1%
Mathematics	04	Limited English Proficient	2011-12	<10	20.9%	2.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	18.2%	8.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	100%	18.3%	7.5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	90%
Mathematics	05	All Students	2010-11	100%	38.5%	23.5%	23.1%	0%	23.1%	26.9%	50%
Mathematics	05	All Students	2011-12	100%	39.6%	20.4%	18.6%	0%	18.6%	23.3%	58.1%
Vathematics	05	American Indian or Alaska Native	2010-11	<10	27.1%	21.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Asian	2010-11	<10	70.6%	27.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Asian	2011-12	<10	71.4%	23%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Black or African American	2010-11	100%	17.5%	16.6%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	16.7%	75%
Vathematics	05	Black or African American	2011-12	<10	17%	16.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	24.6%	24.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	100%	26.2%	18.4%	6.3%	0%	6.3%	18.8%	75%
Mathematics	05	White	2010-11	100%	44.2%	33.6%	24%	0%	24%	44%	32%
Mathematics	05	White	2011-12	100%	45.7%	26.6%	26.7%	0%	26.7%	40%	33.3%
Mathematics	05	Female	2010-11	100%	36.3%	22.7%	17.9%	0%	17.9%	28.6%	53.6%
Mathematics	05	Female	2011-12	100%	37.5%	18.6%	21.4%	0%	21.4%	21.4%	57.1%
Mathematics	05	Male	2010-11	100%	40.6%	24.3%	29.2%	0%	29.2%	25%	45.8%
Mathematics	05	Male	2011-12	100%	41.6%	22.3%	13.3%	0%	13.3%	26.7%	60%
Mathematics	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	100%	24.1%	20.8%	20%	0%	20%	22.5%	57.5%
Vathematics	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	24.9%	17.4%	17.4%	0%	17.4%	17.4%	65.2%
Vathematics	05	Limited English Proficient	2010-11	<10	18%	3.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	14.4%	12.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Vathematics	05	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	16%	5.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	05	All Students	2010-11	100%	17.4%	7%	7.7%	7.7%	0%	32.7%	59.6%
Science	05	All Students	2011-12	100%	15.3%	5.4%	8.5%	4.3%	4.3%	14.9%	76.6%
Science	05	American Indian or Alaska Native	2010-11	<10	9.6%	8.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Asian	2010-11	<10	30.1%	10%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Asian	2011-12	<10	28.9%	4.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Black or African American	2010-11	100%	4.1%	4.8%	0%	0%	0%	8.3%	91.7%
Science	05	Black or African American	2011-12	100%	3.2%	1.8%	0%	0%	0%	10%	90%
Science	05	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	7.4%	4.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	100%	6.2%	1.3%	0%	0%	0%	11.8%	88.2%
Science	05	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	14.2%	11.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	White	2010-11	100%	21.4%	11.4%	12%	12%	0%	56%	32%
Science	05	White	2011-12	100%	18.9%	12.2%	18.8%	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	62.5%
Science	05	Female	2010-11	100%	15.5%	7.7%	3.6%	3.6%	0%	32.1%	64.3%
Science	05	Female	2011-12	100%	13.6%	4.9%	10.3%	6.9%	3.4%	20.7%	69%
Science	05	Male	2010-11	100%	19.1%	6.4%	12.5%	12.5%	0%	33.3%	54.2%
Science	05	Male	2011-12	100%	17%	6%	5.6%	0%	5.6%	5.6%	88.9%
Science	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2010-11	100%	8.3%	5.6%	5%	5%	0%	30%	65%
Science	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	7%	3.9%	7.4%	3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	85.2%
Science	05	Limited English Proficient	2010-11	<10	2%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Students with Disabilities	2010-11	<10	5.8%	3.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Students with Disabilities	2011-12	<10	5.5%	3.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Assessment Data - Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students	State %	District %			% Proficient	% Not
				Tested	Students Proficient	Students Proficient	Students Proficient	(Level 1)		Proficient (Level 4)

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	03	All Students	2010-11	<10	74.7%	83.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	All Students	2011-12	<10	72%	70%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	American Indian or Alaska Native	2010-11	<10	81.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	81.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	<10	73.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Female	2010-11	<10	73.5%	81.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Female	2011-12	<10	69.9%	73.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Male	2010-11	<10	75.3%	86.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	All Students	2010-11	<10	82.9%	95.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Black or African American	2010-11	<10	79.1%	94.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Hispanic or Latino	2010-11	<10	87%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	White	2010-11	<10	84.3%	93.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Female	2010-11	<10	80.4%	92.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Male	2010-11	<10	84.3%	96.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	All Students	2010-11	<10	71.6%	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Black or African American	2010-11	<10	64.5%	77.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	White	2010-11	<10	73.6%	92.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Female	2010-11	<10	66.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Male	2010-11	<10	74.4%	73.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	All Students	2010-11	<10	56.8%	67.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Black or African American	2010-11	<10	47.1%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	White	2010-11	<10	61.3%	81.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Female	2010-11	<10	51.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	05	Male	2010-11	<10	59.6%	65.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Assessment Data - MI-Access : Functional Independence

Student Assessment Data - MI-Access : Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year		Students		% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained	% Emerging (Level 3)
					Proficient	Proficient			

Student Assessment Data - MI-Access : Participation

Subject	Grade	Student Group		Students		% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
				Proficient	Proficient			

Student Assessment Data - MEAP-Acces	SS
--------------------------------------	----

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Reading	03	All Students	2011-12	100%	42.1%	29.4%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	03	Asian	2011-12	<10	46.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	03	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	<10	33.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	03	Female	2011-12	<10	43.8%	40%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	03	Male	2011-12	<10	40.8%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	39.1%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	03	Limited English Proficient	2011-12	<10	40.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	04	All Students	2011-12	100%	45.8%	65%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	04	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	<10	42%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	04	Female	2011-12	<10	46.6%	85.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	04	Male	2011-12	<10	47.6%	44.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	44.5%	58.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	05	All Students	2011-12	100%	55%	64.7%	16.7%	0%	16.7%	83.3%
Reading	05	Black or African American	2011-12	<10	47.3%	61.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	05	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	<10	52.3%	83.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	05	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	65.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	05	White	2011-12	<10	61.1%	85.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	05	Female	2011-12	<10	57.3%	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	05	Male	2011-12	100%	56.8%	61.9%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	52.8%	60%	20%	0%	20%	80%
Mathematics	03	All Students	2011-12	100%	56.4%	40%	100%	0%	100%	0%
Mathematics	03	White	2011-12	<10	65.2%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Vathematics	03	Female	2011-12	<10	51.9%	40%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	03	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	<10	57.7%	40%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	All Students	2011-12	100%	55.1%	70.8%	25%	0%	25%	75%
Mathematics	04	Black or African American	2011-12	<10	49%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	<10	53.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	04	White	2011-12	<10	61%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10

Subject	Grade	Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Mathematics	04	Male	2011-12	100%	58.9%	62.5%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	66.7%
Mathematics	04	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	54.6%	63.6%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	66.7%
Mathematics	05	All Students	2011-12	100%	59.9%	64.5%	20%	0%	20%	80%
Mathematics	05	Black or African American	2011-12	<10	50.9%	70%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Hispanic or Latino	2011-12	<10	63.4%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Two or More Races	2011-12	<10	51.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	White	2011-12	<10	67.5%	66.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Female	2011-12	<10	59.2%	71.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	05	Male	2011-12	100%	64%	57.1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Mathematics	05	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	59.3%	61.1%	25%	0%	25%	75%

Student Assessment Data - MEAP-Access

08/20/2012

AYP Detail Data

Student Group	Location	Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students	State	English Language Arts / Reading	98.8%	82.9%
All Students	State	Mathematics	98.5%	57.5%
All Students	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.7%	70.2%
All Students	District	Mathematics	98.1%	33.8%
All Students	School	English Language Arts / Reading	100.5%	76.5%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100.5%	42.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	State	English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	78.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	State	Mathematics	97.5%	47.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	District	English Language Arts / Reading	97.6%	74.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	District	Mathematics	97.6%	36.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	School	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
American Indian or Alaska Native	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	State	English Language Arts / Reading	100.6%	89.8%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	State	Mathematics	99.3%	80.1%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	District	English Language Arts / Reading	102.1%	55.3%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	99.3%	32.7%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	School	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Black or African American	State	English Language Arts / Reading	96.9%	67.4%
Black or African American	State	Mathematics	96.4%	32.2%
Black or African American	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.3%	65.5%
Black or African American	District	Mathematics	97.7%	25.9%
Black or African American	School	English Language Arts / Reading	102.1%	74%
Black or African American	School	Mathematics	102.1%	34%
Hispanic or Latino	State	English Language Arts / Reading	99%	75.8%
Hispanic or Latino	State	Mathematics	98.5%	44.6%
Hispanic or Latino	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.9%	73.5%
Hispanic or Latino	District	Mathematics	98.4%	31.9%
Hispanic or Latino	School	English Language Arts / Reading	100%	62.5%
Hispanic or Latino	School	Mathematics	100%	32.1%
Two or More Races	State	English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	83.6%
Two or More Races	State	Mathematics	99%	54.9%
Wo or More Races	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.7%	71%
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	99.6%	38.5%
Two or More Races	School	English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	State	English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	86.9%
White	State	Mathematics	99%	63.9%

AYP Detail Data

Student Group	Location	Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*	
White	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.5%	77.3%	
White	District	Mathematics	98.1%	44.9%	
White	School	English Language Arts / Reading	100%	86.2%	
White	School	Mathematics	100%	51.4%	
Economically Disadvantaged	State	English Language Arts / Reading	98.3%	74.4%	
Economically Disadvantaged	State	Mathematics	97.9%	43.3%	
Economically Disadvantaged	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.7%	67%	
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	97.9%	30.3%	
Economically Disadvantaged	School	English Language Arts / Reading	100%	71.1%	
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	33.3%	
Students with Disabilities	State	English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	51.5%	
Students with Disabilities	State	Mathematics	97.3%	32%	
Students with Disabilities	District	English Language Arts / Reading	98.1%	39.1%	
Students with Disabilities	District	Mathematics	97.3%	22.6%	
Students with Disabilities	School	English Language Arts / Reading	100%	19.4%	
Students with Disabilities	School	Mathematics	100%	19.4%	

Note: 1037 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access.

AYP Detail Data - Graduation Rate

Student Group	Location	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	State	79.2%
All Students	District	61.68%
American Indian or Alaska Native	State	68.49%
American Indian or Alaska Native	District	66.67%
Asian	State	90.49%
Asian	District	78.46%
Black or African American	State	62.56%
Black or African American	District	61.92%
Hispanic or Latino	State	68.31%
Hispanic or Latino	District	59.47%
Migrant	State	73.33%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	State	71.79%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	<10
Two or More Races	State	73.44%
Two or More Races	District	<10
White	State	84.81%
White	District	59.41%
Female	State	83.17%
Female	District	69.41%
Male	State	75.45%
Male	District	54.38%
Economically Disadvantaged	State	67.16%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	62.62%
Students with Disabilities	State	64.79%
Students with Disabilities	District	49.32%

 * All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

AYP Detail Data - Attendance Rate

Student Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	State	94.8%
All Students	District	95.1%
All Students	School	94.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	State	94.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	District	93.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	School	93%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	State	96.4%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	District	97.1%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	School	97.3%
Black or African American	State	91%
Black or African American	District	95.2%
Black or African American	School	94.6%
Hispanic or Latino	State	94.3%
Hispanic or Latino	District	94.5%
Hispanic or Latino	School	93.4%
Two or More Races	State	95%
Two or More Races	District	93.6%
White	State	95.8%
White	District	95%
White	School	94.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	State	94.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	95.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	94.3%
Students with Disabilities	State	93.2%
Students with Disabilities	District	93.6%
Students with Disabilities	School	93.4%

* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Status Indicator
Yes	Not Met	Met	Not Met	С	

Teacher Quality Data

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		16	10	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Teacher Quality Data

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	3.8%

Teacher Quality Data

	School Aggregate
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male Female	50 50	21 22	42 45	31 29	6 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 56	35 11	47 41	17 41	1 8
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	71 16 6 3 2	14 53 31 7 ‡ ‡ 23	45 39 48 22 ‡ \$50	36 8 19 45 ‡ ‡ 21	5 0 2 26 1 1 6
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	13 87	50 18	37 44	13 32	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	4 96	47 21	41 44	11 31	1 5

NAEP 2011 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2011 Mathematics Achievement.

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	29	40	25	6
Male Female	51 49	28 30	39 41	26 24	7 5
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	42 58	45 18	39 41	15 32	2 9
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	74 16 4 3 1 2	22 66 26 13 ‡ ‡	43 26 41 25 ‡ ‡	29 7 18 31 ‡ ‡ ‡	6 0 5 32 ‡ ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	70 25	23 41	5 27	1 6
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	57 29	27 40	7 25	10 6

NAEP 2011 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2011 Mathematics Achievement.

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	34	25	6
Male Female	50 50	38 31	33 36	24 26	6 7
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	45 55	51 21	32 36	15 33	2 10
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	70 17 6 3 0 2	26 67 51 19 ‡ 36	37 24 29 33 ‡ 31	30 7 17 33 ‡ ‡ 19	7 1 3 15 ‡ 14
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	13 87	73 30	17 36	8 27	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	67 33	26 35	7 25	0 7

NAEP 2011 Grade 4 Reading Results

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Reading Assessment.

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	45	29	3
Male Female	50 50	28 18	47 43	24 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	42 58	35 14	46 44	18 37	0 4
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	74 16 4 3 1 1	18 46 25 19 ‡ ‡	46 43 50 27 ‡ ‡	33 10 25 39 ‡ ‡ ‡	3 0 1 14 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	67 19	27 46	6 31	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	52 22	40 45	8 30	0 3

NAEP 2011 Grade 8 Reading Results

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Reading Assessment.

Grade		Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities		Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	85	2.0	73	3.3
	Reading	75	3.1	93	2.4
8	Math	73	2.5	83	4.7
	Reading	63	3.3	79	4.5