

## **Reo School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter**

Date posted: August 15, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members:

It is our pleasure to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the Reo Elementary School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Kim Johnson Ray for assistance.

You may receive a copy of the Annual Education Report from the office at Reo Elementary or the report is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <u>http://www.edline.net/pages/REO\_School</u>. Parents may also review a copy of the Lansing School District's Core Curriculum on this website. If you have any questions regarding the AER or the curriculum, please contact Reo Elementary School for assistance.

#### Top to Bottom Ranking – Detail Data and Status

The state uses MEAP data/information to rank schools based on the development of the Top to Bottom list of schools and their performance. Each school's Top to Bottom ranking will be based on student achievement, student growth over time, school improvement over time, and achievement gaps across all five tested subjects (mathematics, reading, science, social studies and writing).

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus, or Priority. A Reward school is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in its student achievement scores and a Priority school is one whose achievement and growth, over time, has shown no improvement in satisfactorily moving the lowest performing students.



Reo School has a ranking of 0 percentile in the top to bottom list of school performance and has been deemed a Priority school. This score does not reflect our school's achievement. Our students have performed well in meeting and/or exceeding state standards compared to students across our district. Our challenges are in moving our lowest performing students to these categories and closing the achievement gap between subgroups. Through a continued and more intense use of a 3 tiered Response to Intervention system, and a more individualized and concentrated educational plan/focus for these students, they will show progress and the persistent gaps of achievement will be closed.

State law requires that the following additional information is reported:

- Students are assigned to Reo Elementary School based on the LSD school boundaries and the schools of choice process. Parents wishing to enroll their child at Reo Elementary School may register on site in our main office or at the Lansing School District's Pupil Accounting Office.
- Outlined within the Annual Education Plan is our 3-5 year School Improvement Plan and its status.
- Aggregate student achievement results from the Michigan Education Assessment Program (MEAP) and MI Access (Michigan Access for students with disabilities) are included in this document. This data has been identified in the Reo School Improvement Plan.

The Lansing School District's instructional offerings at the elementary level reflect the state's recommended Grade Level Content Expectations/Common Core State Standards, which consists of math, writing, science, and social studies as well as art, music, physical education, media services, and technology education. The Lansing core curriculum is being aligned to state standards. The Common Core State Standards (CCSS) have been fully implemented and are used as the basis for the curriculum at all levels. CCSS are available on the Michigan Department of Education (MDE) website, www.michigan.gov. Curriculum documents can be accessed through the Assistant Superintendent of Instruction's webpage at www.lansingschools.net.

• Parent participation in parent/teacher conferences were 168 (93.9%) for fall 2012 conferences and 176 (90.7%) for Fall 2013 conferences.

We appreciate and look forward to the continued support of parents, staff and our community in the effort of ongoing school improvement. We appreciate the continued support of parents, staff and our community in this effort. We look forward to another successful school year as we prepare students for the future.

Sincerely,

Kím Johnson Ray,

Reo Elementary School, Principal



**Reo School** 

## Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.9%	26.5%	42.4%	0%	42.4%	36.4%	21.2%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	40.1%	26.3%	42.5%	2.5%	40%	25%	32.5%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	18%	15.7%	38.5%	0%	38.5%	30.8%	30.8%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	18.2%	18.6%	20%	0%	20%	35%	45%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	65.6%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2013-14	66%	39.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	25.7%	24.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	26.3%	23.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	38.1%	27.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	47.4%	38.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	46.6%	35.8%	70%	10%	60%	20%	10%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	39.8%	26%	41.7%	0%	41.7%	41.7%	16.7%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	39.7%	25.2%	27.8%	0%	27.8%	38.9%	33.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	42%	26.9%	42.9%	0%	42.9%	33.3%	23.8%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	40.6%	27.4%	54.5%	4.5%	50%	13.6%	31.8%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	26.8%	22.4%	38.5%	0%	38.5%	34.6%	26.9%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	26.9%	24.3%	43.2%	2.7%	40.5%	21.6%	35.1%



**Reo School** 

## Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	23%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	26.4%	24.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	21.5%	11.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	22.2%	15.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	66.5%	52.3%	69.7%	9.1%	60.6%	15.2%	15.2%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	61.3%	48.6%	66.7%	2.6%	64.1%	25.6%	7.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	44.8%	44.2%	53.8%	7.7%	46.2%	23.1%	23.1%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	37.3%	40.9%	50%	5%	45%	40%	10%
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	79%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2013-14	76.2%	46.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	53.5%	47.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	46.9%	53.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	61.8%	49.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	73%	64.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	68.8%	56.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading Page 2 of 27	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	70.2%	57.8%	75%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3% or Educational Perform	16.7%

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



### **Reo School**

#### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	64.1%	52.2%	61.1%	0%	61.1%	22.2%	16.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	63%	47.3%	66.7%	9.5%	57.1%	19%	14.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	58.6%	45.1%	71.4%	4.8%	66.7%	28.6%	0%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53.8%	47.3%	61.5%	7.7%	53.8%	19.2%	19.2%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	47.9%	44.5%	63.9%	2.8%	61.1%	27.8%	8.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	41.5%	46.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	37.2%	49.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	37.9%	17.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	35.1%	33.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



## **Reo School**

#### Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--	----------------------------------

No Data to Display



## **Reo School**

#### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	63.7%	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	61.9%	45.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	55.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	54.1%	28.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	58.4%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	64.4%	45%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	62.4%	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.4%	44.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	39.3%	27.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	38.7%	27.5%	50%	0%	50%	50%
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	30.8%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	29.2%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	42.1%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	41.5%	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	38.9%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	34.6%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10



## **Reo School**

#### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient		School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Reading		Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	34.6%	22.9%	50%	0%	50%	50%



### **Reo School**

#### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Students Students Students Students (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3)   Proficient Proficient Proficient Proficient Proficient Proficient	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---	---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

No Data to Display



**Reo School** 

#### **MI-Access Supported Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	90.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	88.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	87.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	82.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	American Indian	2012-13	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	American Indian	2012-13	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	88.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	85.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	81.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	88.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	88.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	91.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	89.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	87.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	88.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



**Reo School** 

#### **MI-Access Supported Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
ELA		Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	84.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	91.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	87%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



**Reo School** 

#### **MI-Access Participation**

	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year		Students		% Surpassed (Level 1)		% Emerging (Level 3)
--	---------	-------	---------------	-------------	--	----------	--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------

No Data to Display



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	62.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics	0%	18.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	54.1%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	97.5%	39.5%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.6%	82.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	99.1%	51.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	67.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	60.4%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	67.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	49.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	46%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	98.1%	39.7%
All Students	District	Mathematics	98.6%	41.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	9.4%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	100%	45.2%
African American	District	Mathematics	98.2%	32.4%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.2%	46.6%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	99%	41.9%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	99.1%	40.8%
White	District	Mathematics	98.8%	52.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	98.7%	38.8%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	98.8%	36.8%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	98%	30.4%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	49.3%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	School	Mathematics	100%	53.3%
Asian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics		



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
White	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	47.6%
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99.1%	85.8%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading	0%	60.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Reading	99%	83.4%
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.9%	72.2%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	99.5%	91.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	80.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	87.3%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.3%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	89.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	78.5%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	99%	69.8%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.4%	56.2%
All Students	District	Reading	98.2%	73.5%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	23.5%
American Indian	District	Reading	100%	83.9%
African American	District	Reading	97.8%	67.7%
Asian	District	Reading	98%	65.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	98.8%	76.9%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	99.4%	77.4%
White	District	Reading	98.3%	79.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	98.6%	70.9%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	94.6%	62.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	97.9%	45.5%
All Students	School	Reading	100%	79.7%
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	<30	<30



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
African American	School	Reading	100%	80%
Asian	School	Reading	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Reading		
White	School	Reading	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	100%	79.4%
English Language Learners	School	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Science	98.3%	42.9%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science	0%	1.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	98.4%	35.6%
African American	Statewide	Science	95.8%	14.9%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.4%	61.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	98.5%	26.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	99.1%	48.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.9%	40.6%
White	Statewide	Science	98.9%	50.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97.4%	26.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98.4%	11.2%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	97.2%	16.1%
All Students	District	Science	98%	19.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Science	100%	35.5%
African American	District	Science	98%	12.1%
Asian	District	Science	99%	18.3%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	98%	15.2%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	99.1%	14.9%
White	District	Science	97.5%	33.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	98%	15.6%



## Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
English Language Learners	District	Science	98%	6.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	97.6%	11.5%
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	97.3%	57.3%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies	0%	9.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	97.7%	49.7%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	93.6%	28.4%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99.1%	74.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	42.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	98.9%	65.5%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	53.8%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	64.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.8%	40.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	22.7%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	92.3%	21.7%
All Students	District	Social Studies	94.8%	30.6%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	District	Social Studies	92.9%	22.9%
Asian	District	Social Studies	98.9%	24.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	96.2%	27.3%
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	97.4%	29.1%
White	District	Social Studies	95.8%	45.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	94.6%	24.5%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	97%	14.5%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	82.9%	9.6%
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	73.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing	0%	26.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	63.2%
African American	Statewide	Writing	96.4%	54.4%
Asian	Statewide	Writing	99%	86%
Hispanic of Any Race Page 14 of 27	Statewide	Writing	98.8% A service of the Center for Educa	64.3% tional Performance and Information



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	99%	76.6%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	99.1%	72.8%
White	Statewide	Writing	99%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Writing	97.8%	61.3%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	98%	51.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	35.2%
All Students	District	Writing	97.8%	54.1%
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30
African American	District	Writing	97.5%	47.5%
Asian	District	Writing	96.5%	49.3%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	98.8%	56.6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	100%	56.7%
White	District	Writing	97.6%	61.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	98%	50.3%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	95%	40.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	97.1%	27.7%
All Students	School	Writing	0%	22.6%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	<30	<30
African American	School	Writing	<30	<30
Asian	School	Writing	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	<30	<30
English Language Learners	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



### Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	77%
American Indian	Statewide	64.1%
African American	Statewide	60.5%
Asian	Statewide	87.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	67.3%
Migrant	Statewide	70.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	69.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.9%
White	Statewide	82.1%
Female	Statewide	81.5%
Male	Statewide	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	63.9%
English Language Learners	Statewide	65.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.6%
Homeless	Statewide	54.2%
All Students	District	56%
African American	District	54.9%
Asian	District	62.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	54.7%
White	District	56.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	51.5%
English Language Learners	District	62.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	33.3%
Bottom 30%	District	90.5%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



#### Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94.3%
All Students	District	95%
All Students	School	100%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



**Reo School** 

#### Accountability Status District Data

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



**Reo School** 

#### Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
Lansing Public School District	Reo School	Priority School	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2					Red	17



**Reo School** 

#### **Teacher Quality - Qualification**

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		9	15	1

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

#### **Teacher Quality - Class**

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		0.0%	0.0%

#### **Teacher Quality - Provisional**

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



#### **NAEP Grade 4 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ 2	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡ 16	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ 24 24	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡ 10
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1 2	21 64 51 12 0 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0 0	29 6 13 30 0 0 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1 1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 1	26 68 58 26 0 0 0	42 27 33 32 0 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



**Reo School** 

#### NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0 0	28 61 47 23 0 0 0	35 27 32 32 0 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

#### # Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



**Reo School** 

#### NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0 2	17 46 31 17 0 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0 0	34 11 20 39 0 0 0	3 1 2 14 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

#### # Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



**Reo School** 

#### NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 0	20 52 34 21 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

#### # Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



## NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading	84 76	3.6 3.3		5.2 4.0